### *2024 Soybean Breeders Workshop*

**Sparse Testing Designs at the Industrial Level: An Application in Soybeans**





*Diego Jarquin*

*February 12, 2024*



#### **OUTLINE**



#### **Multi-Omics Integration and Allocation**

**Sparse Testing Designs at the Industrial Level**

**Intro**

**.**

**Resource allocation Training set sizes and composition Results and Conclusions**

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Intro]**

• Breeders are interested in the release (development) of stable genotypes that outperform current elite materials in a broad set of environments (**G×E matters**).

• Necessary to conduct multi-environmental trials.

- Budget constrains do not allow testing all genotypes in all environments.
	- Just a reduced number of the combinations (genotypes-environments).



#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Intro]**

#### **Hybrid Development Pipeline**



Glenn et al. 2017

- Initially, screening a large number of genotypes in few environments.
- As the more promising lines are advanced, these need to be tested in more environments.
- Only very few genotypes make it to the end of the breeding program  $(\sim3-5)$ .
- These are released as commercial varieties.
- The genetics of those genotypes at the bottom is the same than at the top.
- Hence, a method for identifying these promising genotypes at early stages would help to speed up the breeding cycle.

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Intro]**

#### **Hybrid Development Pipeline**



- Ideal scenario (nonrealistic): Testing all genotypes in all environments.
	- 150,000 genotypes in 100 environments/locations  $= 15,000,000$  combinations.
- Total number of "phenotypes" along the pipeline  $\sim 1,760,000$ 
	- $150,000 \times 10 = 1,500,000$
	- $10,000 \times 20 = 200,000$
	- $1,000 \times 30 = 30,000$
	- $200 \times 100 = 20,000$
	- $100 \times 100 = 10,000$

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Intro]**

#### **Hybrid Development Pipeline**



Glenn et al. 2017

- A more convenient allocation would help us to "evaluate" all genotypes  $(150,000)$  in all environments/locations  $(100)$  using the same resources.
- This would help us to find the most "promising" genotypes in less time.
- For example, sparsely observe the 150,000 genotypes in the 100 locations  $(-1,760,000)$  phenotypes) and predict the remaining combinations  $(13,240,000)$ .
- We are already dedicating recourses to phenotype 11.73% of all these combinations along pipeline.
	- $~1,760,000$
- Using the same budget, the use of sparse testing designs could help us to assess the convenience of testing a fraction of these combinations and predicting the remaining ones for selection of superior cultivars saving time.

#### **Sparse testing designs at the Industrial level [Resource Allocation]**

- **Objectives**
	- Assess the convenience of implementing sparse testing designs to reduce the number of years for selecting superior cultivars at a given budget.
	- Evaluate different methods to select training sets (composition and sizes) at the industrial level.
- **Preliminary results**
	- Previously, we implemented sparse testing designs in maize (Jarquin et al., 2020), wheat (Crespo-Herrera et al., 2021), and<br>soybean (Persa et al., 2023) considering a large number of genotypes (851-1,755) in a reduced numb



#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Training set sizes and composition]**

- **Data description**
	- Large soybean dataset ("The Company") comprised of 2,500 genotypes tested in 340 environments.
	- All genotypes tested in all environments. Total number of datapoints: 850,000 yield records).
	- Genomic data on 2,300 marker SNPs was also available.

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Results and Conclusions]**

- Considering 2% of the combinations for model training.
	- 17,000 of the 850,000 combinations to predict the remaining 833,00 [98%] (or 2,450 genotypes per environment).
	- 50 genotypes observed within environment (7 unique and 43 overlapped with the adjacent environment).



Scatterplot of the correlations of the 340 environments considering two models.

$$
\text{GBLUP}\left[\rho=0.236\right]
$$

vs.

Reaction Norm  $[\rho = 0.579]$ 

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Results and Conclusions]**

- Considering 2% of the combinations for model training
	- 17,000 of the 850,000 combinations to predict the remaining 833,00 [98%] (or 2,450 genotypes per environment).
	- 50 genotypes randomly selected and observed across all the 340 environments (full overlap).



**10**

#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Results and Conclusions]**

- Considering 2% of the combinations for model training
	- 17,000 of the 850,000 combinations to predict the remaining 833,00 [98%] or (2,450 genotypes per environment).
	- 50 genotypes selected using the super saturated design for increasing/decreasing genomic diversity (full overlap) of the training set.



#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Results and Conclusions]**

- Considering 0.3% of the combinations for model training
	- 2,500 of the 850,000 combinations to predict the remaining 847,500 [99.7%] (or 2,493 genotypes per environment).
	- Between 7 and 8 genotypes (randomly selected) were observed at each environment (each genotype was observed only once across environments).

**12**



#### **Sparse testing designs at the industrial level [Results and Conclusions]**

- The identification of superior cultivars can be accelerated by implementing sparse testing designs.
- No need to increase budget for already large-scale programs.
- For a given budget, the screening/testing capacity can be easily increased by 10 folds (e.g., current budget 5,000 phenotypes).
	- For a target number of 10 environments: "screening/evaluate" 5,000 genotypes instead of considering 500 (500 genotypes per environment).
	- For a target number of 500 genotypes: "screening/evaluate" 100 environments instead of 10 (50 genotypes per environment).
	- Or combinations of these (e.g., 250 genotypes and 20 environments).
- For a target population of genotypes and environments the phenotyping costs can be reduced up to 90% (500 genotypes  $& 10$ Environments).
	- Screening 50 genotypes per environment (total 500 across 10 environments  $= 5,000$  phenotypes) and predict the remaining 450 (4,500) across environments).
	- 500 phenotypes instead of 5,000.



#### **ChIDO: C**haracterization & **I**ntegration of **D**riven **O**mics

A no-code solution to build models with interaction matrices



Francisco Gonzalez *MS student Modern Apps Lead - Google*



Julian Garcia-Abadillo *PhD student Intern at Google*

#### **https://jarquinlab.shinyapps.io/multiomicsanalyticsplatform/**



Пâ

G

œ

**A** Ø

**Available Omics** 

 $\rho$  Type here to search

**14**

#### **Visit Gainesville this summer**

**d**

**II Multi-Omic Integration for AI Genomic Prediction Breeding Under Different Approaches: Past, Present and Future** 

#### **SAVE THE DATE**

**July 15-19, 2024 Gainesville, Florida** 

> **Straughn Center** 2142 Shealy Drive **Gainesville, FL**

> > AGRONOMY<br>DEPARTMENT



90 attendees – 12 Countries 5 continents – 26 States USA

This year we are partnering with Google, NCSU, UG, and UARK



## **THANK YOU**



GO GATORS!!!







# **Questions?**

diego.jarquin@gmail.com jhernandezjarqui@ufl.edu